
Truth will not set you free; Judges can, if so inclined



THE WIKIFACTUM PROJECT

INTRODUCTION

Wikifactum's Truth in Justice Project is intended as a powerful platform for righting investigative, prosecutorial and judicial wrongs. So far, in August 2023, although cathartic, the project has proved arduous and time-consuming to launch for US convicted felon William Robert Whyte (Inmate ID #21514084). This therapeutic writing experience, though, gives weight to his criticism of the system. The text may seem to some like a back-alley lawyer, a know-it-all pontificating, but Whyte is attempting to articulate his journey as a foreigner, without any experience of the US justice system except his own case, and ultimately to share what he heard and saw happen to others, mostly US citizens who found them in a similar situation. After all, while Whyte's case is the first on the site, but it is just one of the thousands that Wikifactum aims to help in the future.

In 2020, the United States had over 2.5 million inmates, and it is estimated that 120,000 or more of these are innocent people who have been sentenced to dozens or even a hundred or more years.

The idea behind our site came from an editorial in the brilliant British prison newspaper, *Inside Time*, which Whyte received monthly while incarcerated through the British charity Prisoners Abroad. Whyte sought advice from other interested readers of the newspaper at the Butner institution's library on how to set up a similar organization. Comments were that he must do better by developing the Truth in Justice Project for others, male or female, who believed they had been wrongly convicted due to investigative, prosecutorial and judicial injustice.

Following his return home to Canada in 2020, Whyte began the Wikifactum website for other victims of the US justice system.

Insignificant files related to Whyte's case have not been included on Wikifactum's site, even though they are identified in his sub-file listing. Only files concerning the wrongdoing of investigators, prosecutors, or defense attorneys are included. Likewise for all future vault holders, their files will follow the same guidelines. A relevant compendium of Whyte's files can be accessed for review on the cloud.

Whyte's original intention was to develop a forum for his family to shed light on his unjust conviction in 2017 and his incarceration. Whyte was not seeking sympathy, but simply a ritual of justice by

broadcasting facts about the nefarious orchestration of the federal justice in the United States system by persons in authority and officers of the courts. The reality is becoming more obvious, as his situation pales in comparison to that of so many other inmates who have been unjustly convicted and incarcerated for decades.

Whyte recognizes that visitors to the Wikifactum site may be interested in what can happen to others, but human nature being what it is, few will care once the browser has closed. Those who are interested can read this lengthy form with Wikifactum's introduction, which encourages reader participation on the site. Likewise, the victims of such injustices care, but most only want to put the traumatic events behind their families and themselves and move on with their lives.

As expected of a legal factum, truth, among other prerequisites such as facts, legitimacy, exactness, accuracy, precision, veracity, fidelity, devotion and honesty, should form the basis on which a judge or jury should make a determination as to the guilt or innocence of an accused person. All too frequently, that is not the case.

The **Wikifactum** website of the Truth in Justice Project aims to create a reliable encyclopaedia of information to address the injustices inherent in the **US federal justice system**. Frequently, just as in "fake news," government allegations as revealed to the media should not be relied upon as the truth.

The Wikifactum site is dedicated to humanitarian, social and philosophical issues surrounding the human factors involved in investigating, running and overseeing the judicial system. Based on the principles of a wiki, subject to permission from the project administrators, this online editing system explores a wide range of subjects in the justice system. It uses the tools provided by the project website to provoke or promote a realistic sense of justice that may have been denied to those persons investigated, indicted, convicted and sentenced to a term in a federal facility.

PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The activity of the Truth in Justice Project is focused on collecting and systematically presenting any injustices perpetrated against the contributing subscribers on Wikifactum's site by officials of the justice system and its effects on the individuals, their families who also suffered and society as a whole. The objective therefore is to follow and challenge the fundamental and vital aspects of injustice perpetrated by officialdom. These issues have been the subject of numerous professional

academic studies over the years, which confirm the devastating effect on the nation's poor. Changes in US criminal law were purportedly made by Congress in 1985, but the changes allegedly only made things worse during the 1990s. What little has been done since 2008 has not begun to undo the harm that has caused the justice system to become so disreputable. Trials are rare in the federal criminal justice system, and acquittals are now even rarer.

When one considers that the US federal judicial system boasts a conviction rate of over 98% with only 2% of cases going to trial, how is this remarkable rate possible only in the United States and not in any other judicial system except for China's? We can only wonder how this rate was achieved and if it was done fairly. Was it achieved through excellent investigative skills, skilled prosecutors and the inducement of a reduced sentence by pleading guilty to a bargained indictment, or were other nefarious schemes at play because the defendant exercised his or her constitutional right to a fair trial?

While academia may have studied this, what could they have done to redress any unfairness or miscarriage of justice they have discovered in the system? After all, that is the job of the American voters; are they not to be blamed? While the **American Civil Liberties Union**, the **Innocence Project** and the **Marshall Project** have shown what moral leadership is about, there is little condemnation of prosecutors and other officials with documented bad records. From the very outset of an indictment, "The Man" still gets away with exploiting and smearing his latest quarry with dubious propaganda when prosecutors entice the media's "investigative reporters" to publish false or misleading statements to an unwitting public and possibly a judge or jury. Truth must be disseminated to the media by the establishment of what can only be considered reliable content – not hype, falsehoods, conjecture or supposition.

The unlawful killing on May 25, 2020 of George Perry Floyd Jr. by an officer of the Minneapolis Police Department was an immediate catalyst for the Black Lives Matter (BLM) organization, with its nationwide protests demanding social change and protesting rampant police killings, brutality, racism and planted evidence. There have been many more such occurrences since Floyd was brutalized. Some police officers are now far more mindful that their conduct is under frequent scrutiny from smartphones and body cameras. Similarly, a problem exists in the federal court system but, unlike with uniformed police officers, little is known about the conduct of investigators or officers of the court. Likewise, there is no such medium as BLM exerting pressure for change among officers of the courts, and this is precisely why it is far past time for a watchdog, an investigative

justice ombudsperson, to saddle up to protect the innocents, those individuals Shanghaied into a jail sentence by nefarious means. Nothing will change until methods such as those projected for Wikifactum's Truth in Justice Project are used to bring dishonest federal investigators, prosecutors, colluding defense attorneys and others to account. Until then, injustices in the criminal justice system will continue.

The main objectives of the project are to create a computerized database for individuals who claim their conviction was based on contrived evidence or unethical conduct of federal investigators, prosecutors, defence attorneys and judges, or on whistle-blowers with "dirty hands" who were rewarded by the government for their information. Included will be strictly reliable information of wrongdoing on a wide range of topics about justice and injustice, the search for truth and the establishment of a real picture of the events that took place leading to indictments, convictions and sentencing.

Individuals vetted by Wikifactum's staff will be granted a password-controlled information vault on the cloud into which they will insert their narrative. Following ombudsperson and staff review and approval, the individual's site will be opened for interested parties to read.

Wikifactum is recruiting victims of injustice caused by "The Man" and his facilitators as users of the site to pursue social justice through its online forum. With a covenant of good faith, users will contribute to the site and benefit others with their contributions by exposing injustices in the criminal justice system. Our forum will provide an in-depth look at the careers, history and ethics of federal investigators, prosecutors, defense attorneys and judges. **Wikifactum** will appoint an ombudsperson for each of the 11 federal court circuits who will oversee and examine claims for their truth and corroboration. They will have the assistance of **Wikifactum's** administrators and our site users. Together, our research and exposure of misconduct and systemic problems will create much-needed accountability. All discoveries of unethical or illegal conduct will also be published by **DOING TIME NEWS, INC.**, in our **DOING TIME** national newspaper and other online forums.

It is overwhelmingly evident that the poor are the victims of an overzealous judicial system with career-motivated law enforcement agents, prosecutors and money-making correctional institutions acting in tandem to incarcerate as many people as possible. In doing so, the very fabric of society is being destroyed as families are broken up and communities are harmed with each arrest. Many have said that the United States needs to heal, not fill vacant bunks in federal prisons. Clearly, the

American criminal justice system is an anthill of hypocrisy and corruption. Even America's claim to be a democratic society of laws has become questionable to many.

Wikifactum's review of cases will be carried out as accurately as possible, with a clear separation between fact and conjecture. The project aims to be an encyclopaedia of truth and an open creative laboratory to illuminate the truth for the public and victims of injustice. It is our hope, albeit with great zeal but a limited degree of positivity of success, that scrutiny led by **Wikifactum** and published by **DOING TIME NEWS INC.**, will eventually lead to fairer trials and sentencing. A tall order and we can but try.

What is driving the creation of Wikifactum and its Truth in Justice Project?

The need for a resource providing reliable disclosure of information about injustice in the prosecution and conviction system of the United States' justice system is crucial. This is achieved through an independent ombudsperson's research into alleged injustices to expose the wrongdoing of investigators and officers of the court and to combat official government propaganda and falsehoods. Courageous patriots need to speak out against entrenched politicians and bureaucrats who oversee the Department of Justice, US attorneys' offices and federal investigators as well as those who supervise and manage federal investigators and prosecutors. Wikifactum aims to see justice upheld as envisioned by the good intentions of the founding fathers of the United States rather than the systemic corrupt practices and mismanagement of criminal justice that prevail in the country. These issues are evident to resident aliens or any foreigners from other countries with values based on common law. Corrupt practices in law enforcement and judicial malfeasance are well-known to many U.S citizens who have experienced the flaws in the prosecutorial system.

Snowden, Manning and Assange, at great personal risk, disclosed what they had learned. All too often, reporters or authors engaged in research outside the scope of official government propaganda are silenced. They are accused of creating fake news, salacious reporting or disclosing protected classified information, threatening national security or worse. Frequently, they are the targets of criminal investigations into their tax returns, financial dealings and business interests. How often have courageous individuals been charged with crimes that discredit their trustworthiness? Government witch-hunts and cover-ups are no longer rare. They appear to have become the norm.

Within **Wikifactum**, first-time users need to get a sense of the role the site plays and go to the landing page for the US district court in Danville, Virginia, part of the US Fourth Circuit and the

venue where two trials took place, first the civil FCA trial which the government's whistleblower and informant lost, yet used his testimony dishonestly, with other equally dishonest factors to convict **Wikifactum** founder **William R. Whyte (Case No. 4:12-CR-21-JLK-2)**. Users will learn about Whyte's success and ruin as a government contractor, along with the criminal investigation, indictment, trial, conviction and sentence that ignited the creation of **Wikifactum** and the Truth in Justice Project.

Users of the Wikifactum site may find themselves facing difficult choices and asking themselves tough questions. Am I willing to risk my career or freedom for the truth? Do I expose government wrongdoing even though I know that the government may retaliate against me? Will I lose my income, my home, my assets and my freedom? Investigative reporting is not easy, and honest whistle-blowers often sacrifice everything to bring injustices to light. They must ask the question: do I have the courage to do what is right?

Some interested readers may be employees of the government, working at the DOJ, a US attorney's office, the FBI, DEA, ATF, DHS or DCIS, or another of the hundreds of federal law enforcement agencies, or perhaps an employee at a federal courthouse or federal prison. Many government employees know that there are serious problems with the system that employs them. Some will have the courage to tell the truth and expose the corruption, and we hope they can make a contribution to the cases documented on our site.

Donors, Funding and Advertising

The Truth in Justice Project being undertaken by **Wikifactum** is fundamentally a non-profit organization funded by donor subscriptions and advertising. Our online magazine and newspaper "**Doing Time**" will be supported by attorneys, private investigators and others advertising their specialized services. At **Wikifactum**, all financial matters will be handled with public disclosure and full transparency. The operation of trust accounts and bookkeeping services will be outsourced to reputable accounting firms offering audited statements.

The focus of the project is primarily on people who are interested in truth and justice – that is, knowledge about incarcerated individuals, their families at home, their health and living conditions in federal institutions, how they came to be incarcerated, and the trials of their families and children without parents. This is all about society itself and is not for subsequent monetization by the site's administrators.

Given the specifics of the topics mentioned above, the creators of the project have revealed their personalities, agendas and areas of interest in truth and bringing truth to radiate its light as a foil to thwart social injustice. For the Truth in Justice Project, what is essential is truly independent, objective knowledge for the public confirmed by references to established facts and reliable sources of information with a database about the bad – even criminal – conduct and connivance of officers of the court and their collaborators.

Anonymous editing of any cases or articles on the project website is prohibited. This policy provides at least minimal protection against primitive vandalism. The complete anonymity of the edits by our administrative editors is intended to create a sense of order and impartiality and to restrict conjecture and insults towards official parties of interest as we delve into their character and ethics.

The Truth in Justice Project invites you to participate in the development of our site by using the principles outlined above. Creating and editing articles is available only to approved users. We welcome input from scholars, publicists, journalists and other independent professionals interested in making our site a useful resource. Not only do we seek the truth, but we must also expose the character and ethics of prosecutors, lawyers, investigators, judges and other parties engaged in other Department of Justice entities, including the Bureau of Prisons.

ATTITUDE TOWARDS CONSPIRACY THEORIES

We will only present articles from vetted sources where information can be confirmed and verified. We will disallow conspiracy theories, speculation and conclusions based on conjecture, rumour or gossip. We reserve the right to address various conspiracy theories and rumours for the sole purpose of challenging their veracity.

HOW WILL WIKIFACTUM FUNCTION?

The purpose of the site is to provide a platform to challenge and cast doubt on the reputations of the victim's detractors. Individuals who want to participate and rent a vault on Wikifactum's site can only do so with the assistance of impartial investigators – our ombudsmen – who will review and provide feedback on the alleged facts of each case submitted by a potential vault lessee, and it is their decision whether the party is truly a victim. Any person approved on Wikifactum's site is considered an innocent victim or marked by other circumstances and deserving of representation.

Wikifactum will shield the lessee's project until we deem their vault is ready for public viewing. We know the tentacles of officialdom and its retribution are long. By not providing information regarding the lessee on the website until their vault is ready for public viewing, we give them a modicum of protective safeguards for those whose claims are subsequently found to be dubious.

Wikifactum advises potential lessees against rushing into appeals; they may be a lost cause, wasted energy, and an additional money pit. It must be remembered that Wikifactum is set up to focus on the wrongdoing of investigators, prosecutors, defense attorneys and the judiciary. The individual's time is better spent signing up as a Wikifactum vault lessee and working on any prosecutorial or judicial malfeasance as a victim's innocence project within the confidential vault space assigned by Wikifactum.

Even though the individual may be a strong and optimistic character, there is no doubt it is a great challenge for any lessee preparing to prove their innocence at a vastly different venue from their trial. Although there remain several hurdles in front of them, they can immediately start building their factum to offset the tedium of prison life.

Wikifactum's impartial and confidential vaulting service is considered an encrypted charter-hosting site where the lessee can prepare their innocence project without any salacious media or adversarial challenges to the development of their case. What will give the individual mounting strength is the thought of eventually seeing retribution and mayhem for their detractors, and they can start by signing up as a vault lessee with Wikifactum. Their engagement will allow them to put bad thoughts aside and use their time wisely to prepare for their innocence project.

Once a lessee decides to join Wikifactum, it goes without saying that they must protect their privileged and confidential information, and therefore, information must be submitted to Wikifactum in accordance with the site's many guidelines, just a few of which are listed below:

- a) The lessee will likely be incarcerated when preparing their innocence factum; therefore, confidential information must be forwarded from their facility in accordance with Federal Bureau of Prisons regulations. FBP's policies and procedures must be followed with respect to legal mail forwarded to Wikifactum via the lessee's lawyers.
 - b) Wikifactum has designed individual encrypted cloud-based hosting vaults dedicated to each lessee's case. The vault remains locked and will only be opened by the lessee or their
-

gatekeeper's password for review by the ombudsperson or unless the memoranda are destroyed by Wikifactum upon cancellation and removal of the vault.

- c) The lessee will prepare an initial opening statement or factum for filing in their vault. This is followed by sub-folders where relevant tabbed documentation is filed, and notations are created from each file in a corresponding chronicle.
 - d) When the lessee is satisfied with the contents of their vault and has agreed to open a confidential review of the factum and its contents, the ombudsperson will scrutinize the lessee's claim of innocence and their description of how the conduct of investigators, prosecutors, defence attorneys or judges prejudiced their fair trial. The ombudsperson will either offer a confirming opinion regarding the contents or advise the lessee on how the vault's content may be improved.
 - e) Following the ombudsperson's approval or favourable final review, the lessee, now an approved victim, may permit public review of the vault's contents. Every reviewing member of the public is invited to complete a questionnaire to proffer their opinion, based on the ombudsperson's report and the lessee's chronicle, as to the lessee's guilt or innocence and respectful and mindful comments are allowed about the wrongdoing of the investigators, prosecutors, defence attorney or presiding judge which occasioned a conviction following an unfair trial.
 - f) Applicants wishing to lease a vault will contractually acknowledge the duty of the lessee to respect the serious intention the site affords for those who profess their innocence following conviction due to a prejudicial trial. There cannot be unsubstantiated claims published on the site, nor abusive language or threats of any kind. Based on the opinion of the investigative ombudsperson's review as to whether the lessee has cause to continue with case preparation or the opening of the vault to the public, this decision rests solely within the ambit of the ombudsperson.
 - g) Based on the ombudsperson's opinion that the lessee's submission is not tenable for continuing participation, Wikifactum reserves the exclusive right to determine whether the lessee can continue using the facility. Wikifactum shall forthwith cancel the current year's hosting. Any remaining proration of the annual fee will be returned to the lessee.
-

In Wikifactum's conceptual stage, the author did not expect the need for a substantial injection of capital. After all, it was only based on his experiences and was primarily developed to assuage his anger. Thus far, the author has funded the site and will continue to do so until it is fully operational.

After six months of creative work, the author realized that a greater role could be expected from the site by granting other individuals the opportunity to identify persons in authority responsible for their conviction at an unfair trial and contribute to that individual's archive about their character, ethics or any similar events experienced by other victims.

IN CONCLUSION

Once the expansion of Wikifactum's site and the creation of the **Doing Time News** were deemed feasible by its author, his advisers told him to anticipate that site development and maintenance are costly and must be funded by public donations or advertisers such as lawyers, private investigators and other defence experts. Paid advertising and a subscription plan would cover the cost of the printing and editorial content of *Doing Time*, which is proposed as an online and print newspaper for federal inmates that more than just mirrors the concept of the British *Inside Time* prison newspaper.

The cost of leasing a vault, with an initial application fee of \$500, is used to cover the cost of the ombudsperson's initial review, which determines whether an applicant's case warrants continued representation on the site. For any subsequent ombudsperson's review, the fee at commencement is \$250. When an applicant's factum is accepted by our ombudsperson for release on the site, the estimated annual leasing fee is expected to be in the range of about \$200 per annum.

We hope that our site not only facilitates the recovery of some, if not the entire reputation of the lessee, but it also sets the record straight. It offers them a much-needed catharsis, and a sense of purpose in the spirit of "naming the names" and demanding corrections for injustices caused by prosecuting parties who may have harmed them and who may harm others in the future.

Having been determined by Wikifactum's ombudsperson as a likely victim of prejudicial injustice by persons in authority, upon approval for the site, the lessee is deemed to have a compelling situation that needs exposure based on provable and documented facts. Wikifactum may serve as their substantive first court of appeal and is certainly a venue for driving pressure headlong into the federal court of appeals.

While the perpetrators of injustice have done their best to break the convicted person's spirit, we believe that victims must remain strong and stoic in the face of adversity. After everything that has happened, they can and will survive.



Following on from the introduction, the reader may read about the trials and tribulations of our founder, William Robert Whyte. His narrative is long, at eighty four (84) pages in total about the events leading to his conviction. Eight (8) pages of His narrative are included below and have the heading of:

"MY CONVICTION FOR THE MOTHER OF ALL CLUSTER FAUX PAS' "

Individuals claiming innocence despite their conviction, sentencing and incarceration, allegedly due to misconduct by investigators, prosecutors, judges or defence counsel, will prepare a similar outline of their case. Whyte's narrative serves as an example of WIKIFACTUM'S requirement for concise case preparation. Vault lessees will prepare an initial opening statement or factum for filing in their vault for the initial review of Wikifactum's watchdog, the ombudsperson, who determines whether there is any reasonable merit to the claim of such misconduct.

**Read Whyte's Complete Narrative
by following the Button below ↓**

Whyte's Narrative